

# How to make graphene superconducting



# Gianni Profeta

Physics Department, University of L'Aquila, Italy

& Max-Planck Institute of Microstructure Physics, Halle, Germany

Matteo Calandra and Francesco Mauri

IMPMC, University of Paris 6, CNRS, Jussieu, Paris France

• Ultra-relativistic particles near  $E_F$   $E = \pm v_F \mathbf{p}, v_F = c/300$ 



A. H. Castro Neto et al. RMP (2009) Novoselov et al. Nature (2005) Lee et al., Science (2008) Novoselov et al, Science (2007)

- Ultra-relativistic particles near  $E_F$   $E = \pm v_F \mathbf{p}, v_F = c/300$
- Effective theory around E<sub>F</sub>

$$-iv_F\sigma\cdot\nabla\psi(\mathbf{r})=E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$



A. H. Castro Neto et al. RMP (2009) Novoselov et al. Nature (2005) Lee et al., Science (2008) Novoselov et al, Science (2007)

- $E = \pm v_F \mathbf{p}, v_F = c/300$ • Ultra-relativistic particles near E<sub>F</sub>
- Effective theory around E<sub>F</sub>

- $-iv_F \sigma \cdot \nabla \psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$
- Cyclotron mass with carriers



- Ultra-relativistic particles near  $E_F$   $E = \pm v_F \mathbf{p}, v_F = c/300$
- Effective theory around E<sub>F</sub>

- $-iv_F\sigma\cdot\nabla\psi(\mathbf{r})=E\psi(\mathbf{r})$
- Cyclotron mass with carriers







 $E = \pm v_F \mathbf{p}, v_F = c/300$ • Ultra-relativistic particles near E<sub>F</sub>

Energy

• Effective theory around E<sub>F</sub>

 $-iv_F \sigma \cdot \nabla \psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$ 

E

• Cyclotron mass with carriers





• High stiffness and high mobility



Novoselov et al, Science (2007)

- Ultra-relativistic particles near  $E_F$   $E = \pm v_F \mathbf{p}, v_F = c/300$
- Effective theory around E<sub>F</sub>

 $-iv_F\sigma\cdot\nabla\psi(\mathbf{r})=E\psi(\mathbf{r})$ 

0.06

0.02

-3

-6

3

6

0

 $n (10^{12} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2})$ 

ق (1.04 لا

• Cyclotron mass with carriers





- High stiffness and high mobility





- Ultra-relativistic particles near  $E_F$   $E = \pm v_F \mathbf{p}, v_F = c/300$
- Effective theory around E<sub>F</sub>

$$-iv_F\sigma\cdot\nabla\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r})$$

- Cyclotron mass with carriers
- Klein paradox



• High stiffness and high mobility



0.06

е е е



• Room Temperature QHE



A. H. Castro Neto et al. RMP (2009) Novoselov et al. Nature (2005) Lee et al., Science (2008) Novoselov et al, Science (2007) ...but in the long list of graphene remarkable properties, a fundamental block is missing:

## SUPERCONDUCTIVITY

...but in the long list of graphene remarkable properties, a fundamental block is missing:

## SUPERCONDUCTIVITY

## Some experimental discoveries

nature

Vol 446|1 March 2007|doi:10.1038/nature05555

#### LETTERS

#### **Bipolar supercurrent in graphene**

Hubert B. Heersche<sup>1\*</sup>, Pablo Jarillo-Herrero<sup>1\*</sup>, Jeroen B. Oostinga<sup>1</sup>, Lieven M. K. Vandersypen<sup>1</sup> & Alberto F. Morpurgo<sup>1</sup>

LETTERS PUBLISHED ONLINE: 6 FEBRUARY 2011 | DOI: 10.1038/NPHYS1911



# Transport through Andreev bound states in a graphene quantum dot

Travis Dirks, Taylor L. Hughes, Siddhartha Lal $^{\dagger}$ , Bruno Uchoa, Yung-Fu Chen, Cesar Chialvo, Paul M. Goldbart $^{\dagger}$  and Nadya Mason\*













$$\lambda = \frac{N(0)D^2}{M\omega^2}$$

is vanishingly small, however it can be varied by rigid doping N(0)

but it increases very slowly







is vanishingly small, however it can be varied by rigid doping N(0)but it increases very slowly

The deformation potential, D, for in-plane modes is high, but 1/  $\omega^2$  is low









is vanishingly Small, however it can be varied by rigid doping N(0)but it increases very slowly

The deformation potential, D, for in-plane modes is high, but 1/  $\omega^2$  is low











THOMAS E. WELLER<sup>1</sup>, MARK ELLERBY<sup>1</sup>\*, SIDDHARTH S. SAXENA<sup>2</sup>\*, ROBERT P. SMITH<sup>2</sup> AND NEAL T. SKIPPER<sup>1</sup>





Superconductivity in the intercalated graphite compounds  $C_6 \mbox{Yb}$  and  $C_6 \mbox{Ca}$ 

THOMAS E. WELLER<sup>1</sup>, MARK ELLERBY<sup>1</sup>\*, SIDDHARTH S. SAXENA<sup>2</sup>\*, ROBERT P. SMITH<sup>2</sup> AND NEAL T. SKIPPER<sup>1</sup>







Superconductivity in the intercalated graphite compounds  $C_6 \mbox{Yb}$  and  $C_6 \mbox{Ca}$ 

THOMAS E. WELLER<sup>1</sup>, MARK ELLERBY<sup>1</sup>\*, SIDDHARTH S. SAXENA<sup>2</sup>\*, ROBERT P. SMITH<sup>2</sup> AND NEAL T. SKIPPER<sup>1</sup>





The electron-phonon coupling: C<sub>z</sub> coupling

M. Calandra and F. Mauri, Phys. Rev. Lett (2005)



## Superconductivity in the intercalated graphite compounds C<sub>6</sub>Yb and C<sub>6</sub>Ca

THOMAS E. WELLER<sup>1</sup>, MARK ELLERBY<sup>1</sup>\*, SIDDHARTH S. SAXENA<sup>2</sup>\*, ROBERT P. SMITH<sup>2</sup> AND NEAL T. SKIPPER<sup>1</sup>



M. S. Dresselhaus and G. Dresselhaus, Adv. in Phys. (2002)
G. Csanyi et al, Nat. Phys. (2005)
I. Mazin and A. V. Balatsky Phil. Mag. Lett. (2010)





#### The interlayer state



The electron-phonon coupling: C<sub>z</sub> coupling

M. Calandra and F. Mauri, Phys. Rev. Lett (2005)



## The interlayer state



It is a strongly 3D dispersive state living between carbon layers\*

\* M. Posternak et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. (1983)

L. Boeri et al. Phys. Rev. B (2007)



## The interlayer state



It is a strongly 3D dispersive state living between carbon layers\*

\* M. Posternak et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. (1983)

L. Boeri et al. Phys. Rev. B (2007)

But it exists even in multiwall nanotubes, fullerenes, BN, MgB2 and.....







## Use the interlayer state: put it at E<sub>F</sub>

Interlayer state is a truly 2D free-electron state in xy direction,  $\psi(x,y) = e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)}$ 

However, in the z direction is not exactly "free".

Kronig-Penney model of bulk GIC





The interlayer state energy decreases removing the quantum confinement along z



 $a \to \infty$ 

that is Metal adatoms on Graphene

## Metal doped Graphene

We performed first-principles Density Functional Theory calculation of structural, electronic, dynamical and superconducting properties of metal adatoms on Graphene

The electron-phonon matrix element

$$g_{nm}^{\nu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}) \propto \langle \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}m|\frac{\delta v_{\mathrm{SCF}}}{\delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{q}s}}|\mathbf{k}n\rangle$$

Phonon linewidth

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{q}\nu} = \frac{4\pi\omega_{\mathbf{q}\nu}}{N_k(T)} \sum_{\mathbf{k},n,m}^{N_k(T)} |g_{nm}^{\nu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q})|^2 \delta(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}n}) \delta(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}m})$$



$$\lambda_{\mathbf{q}\nu} = \frac{\gamma_{\mathbf{q}\nu}}{2\pi\omega_{\mathbf{q}\nu}^2\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{s}}}$$

The Eliashberg function

$$\alpha^2 F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2N_q} \sum_{\mathbf{q}\nu} \lambda_{\mathbf{q}\nu} \omega_{\mathbf{q}\nu} \delta(\omega - \omega_{\mathbf{q}\nu}) \qquad T_c = \frac{\omega_{log}}{1.20} exp\{-\frac{1.04(1+\lambda)}{\lambda - \mu^*(1+0.62\lambda)}\}$$

## Metal doped Graphene

- Ca is the first choice (CaC<sub>6</sub> has Tc=11.5 K)
- $\bullet\,$  Ca adsorbs in the hollow site at 2.24 Å
- IL at  $E_F$ ?



## Metal doped Graphene

- Ca is the first choice (CaC<sub>6</sub> has Tc=11.5 K)
- $\bullet\,$  Ca adsorbs in the hollow site at 2.24 Å
- IL at  $E_F$ ?







## Ca on graphene: The interlayer state







## Ca on graphene: electron-phonon coupling



The coupling with C<sub>z</sub> modes, present in the bulk compound, is small in the monolayer case

The total electron-phonon coupling is  $\lambda = 0.40$  with an extimated T<sub>c</sub>= 1.4 K ( $\lambda = 0.70$  and T<sub>c</sub>= 11.5 K)

Removal of quantum confinement reduces  $\lambda$ : Bulk better than Film

## Lithium doped Graphene

- There is at least one case among GICs that can be further explored, stage-1 Lithium GIC, LiC<sub>6</sub>.
- IL state is completely empty: the strong quantum confinement prevents its occupation
- It is not superconducting

Removal of confinement along c direction should bring the IL at the Fermi level



## Lithium doped Graphene

- There is at least one case among GICs that can be further explored, stage-1 Lithium GIC, LiC<sub>6</sub>.
- IL state is completely empty: the strong quantum confinement prevents its occupation
- It is not superconducting

#### Removal of confinement along c direction should bring the IL at the Fermi level



## Lithium doped Graphene







- IL state at the Fermi level
- Softening of Li and C<sub>z</sub> modes
- $\bullet$  Increase of DOS at  $E_{\rm F}$

Lithium doped Graphene Electron-phonon coupling



- The interlayer state allows the coupling with "dormant"  $C_z$  modes
- Increases the DOS at the Fermi level
- Allows an additional intra-band and 2 inter-band scattering channels
- Increases the total electron-phonon coupling to  $\lambda = 0.61$  and  $T_c = 8.1$  K

## Lithium on both sides of Graphene





## Conclusions

- Rigid doping can not induce electron-phonon driven superconductivity
- Metal adatoms on graphene promotes the interlayer state at the Fermi level
- The coupling depends on the particular adatom, very different from the bulk counterpart
- Lithium on graphene has a sizable electron-phonon coupling
- and can induce superconductivity.

"How to make graphene superconducting"

G. Profeta, M. Calandra and F. Mauri

cond-mat arXiv: xxx.xxxx

# Thank you for your attention

