DEFORMING SINGLE- AND MULTI-LAYER GRAPHENES IN TENSION AND COMPRESSION

$\label{eq:c.Galiotis} \underbrace{\textbf{C. Galiotis}^{a,b,c*}}_{\textbf{K. Papagelis}^{a,b},\textbf{ J. Parthenios}^{b} \mbox{ and } \textbf{K. S. Novoselov}^{e}$

^aDepartment of Materials Science, University of Patras, 26504 Patras, Greece

^bInstitute of Chemical Engineering and High Temperature Chemical Processes

Foundation of Research and Technology Hellas, 26504 Patras, Greece

^cInterdepartmental Programme in Polymer Science and Technology, University of Patras, Greece ^dJ. Heyrovsky Institute of Physical Chemistry, v.v.i.,

Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, CZ-18223, Prague 8, Czech Republic

^eSchool of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

*Corresponding author: c.galiotis@iceht.forth.gr

Graphene has received a lot of attention nowadays due to the fact that as a single, virtually defect-free crystal is predicted to have an intrinsic tensile strength higher than any other known material [1] and tensile stiffness similar to graphite [2].

In this work, we subjected a single layer of graphene -embedded into the upper surface of a PMMA cantilever bar and covered by a ~ 100 nm thickness polymeric film to tension and compression, while its Raman spectrum is recorded simultaneously (Fig.1). The cantilever beam can be flexed up or down by means of an adjustable screw subjecting the flake to compressive or tensile loads, respectively. Graphene's strain value at each deflection level is estimated using the results of Timoshenko's theory of beams [3]. Except the significant information on the monolayer deformation - stress uptake, we determine the compression buckling strain in single graphene flakes of different geometries. In all cases the mechanical response is monitored by the shift of the G and 2D Raman lines with strain, using two different excitation laser wavelengths (514.5nm and 785nm) [4,5].

In tension, the embedded flakes seem to sustain strains up to 1.3% in a reversible manner [4]. The position of the 2D peak shifts linearly to the applied uniaxial strain using the 514.5nm excitation line having a rate of ~52 cm⁻¹/% in agreement with recent results [6]. Past reports by a number of authors show much lower shift rates [7,8]. Moreover, the observed initial drag in the 2D peak shift in tension ((0.2%)) indicates that the studied flake is under a residual compressive strain probably due the initial deposition process and/or the shrinkage of resin during curing. On the other hand, the doubly degenerate E_{2g} optical mode splits into two distinct components having eigenvectors parallel (G⁻) and perpendicular (G⁺) to the direction of the applied strain. The strain rates of the individual G bands in tension is $-31.4 \text{cm}^{-1}/\%$ for the G⁻ and $-9.6 \text{cm}^{-1}/\%$ for the G⁺ mode [5]. The results are in excellent agreement with recent first principles calculations [9].

In compression, the G and 2D band response is non-linear. The corresponding $\partial \omega_{G,2D}/\partial \epsilon$ values decrease with strain till the eventual turn-over of the slope, which is indicative of progressive buckling that precedes the final collapse of the flake [5] (Fig.2). The Euler

classical analysis can be applied to the embedded graphene monolayers and the critical buckling strain, ϵ_c^{emb} , should be given by the following equation

$$\epsilon_c^{emb} = \frac{k}{w^2} \frac{D^* \pi^2}{C} \tag{1}$$

where w is the width of the flake, k is the geometric term, C is the tension rigidity and D* is the flexural rigidity in presence of the polymer. The experimentally extracted ϵ_c^{emb} values were found to be dependent on the flake size and geometry with respect to the strain axis of the investigated flakes. For flakes with length (l)-to-width (w) ratios ≥ 0.2 the critical buckling stain is -0.53% (Flake F3) and -0.64% (Flake F2). However, for 1/w < 0.2 the buckling strain is -1.3% (Flake F1). Despite the infinitely small thickness of the monolayers, the results show that graphenes embedded in plastic beams exhibit remarkable compression bucking strain compared to that of the suspended ones, due to the effect of the lateral support provided by the polymer matrix, which is indeed dramatic and increases the effective flexural rigidity of graphene by 6 orders of magnitude. The experimental finding that one atom thick monolayers embedded in polymers can provide reinforcement in compression to high values of strain is very significant for the development of nanocomposites for structural applications [5].



Figure 1: a) Cantilever beam and the morphology of beam for b) flakes F1 F2 and c) for flake F3.



Figure 2: 2D peak position as a function of compressive strain for "embedded" flakes by different excitation laser lines, 785nm for F1 and F2 and 514.5nm for F3.

References

- [1] Q.Z. Zhao, M.B. Nardelli, J. Bernholc, Phys. Rev. B , 65 (2002) 144105.
- [2] C. Lee, X.D. Wei, J.W. Kysar, J. Hone, Science, 321 (2008) 385.
- [3] S.P. Timoshenko, J.M. Gere, Theory of Elastic Stability, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1961.
- [4] G. Tsoukleri, J. Parthenios, K. Papagelis, R. Jalil, A.C. Ferrari, A.K. Geim, K.S. Novoselov, C. Galiotis, Small, 5 (2009) 2397.
- [5] O. Frank, G. Tsoukleri, J. Parthenios, K. Papagelis, K.S. Novoselov, A.K. Geim, C. Galiotis, ACS Nano, 6 (2010) 3131.
- [6] T.M.G. Mohiuddin, A. Lombardo, R.R. Nair, A. Bonetti, G. Savini, R. Jail, N. Bonini, D.M. Basko, C. Galiotis, N. Marzari, K.S. Novoselov, A.K. Geim, A.C. Ferrari, Phys. Rev. B, 79 (2009) 205433.
- [7] M.Y. Huang, H.G. Yan, C.Y. Chen, D.H. Song, T.F. Heinz, J. Hone, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 106 (2009) 7304.
- [8] Z.H. Ni, T. Yu, Y.H. Lu, Y.Y. Wang, Y.P. Feng, Z.X. Shen, ACS Nano, 2 (2008) 2301.
- [9] M. Mohr, K. Papagelis, J. Maultzsch, C. Thomsen, Phys. Rev. B, 80 (2009) 205410.